

---

Subject: [FEATURE REQUEST] bool AMap::HasKey(K key) ;  
Posted by [omari](#) on Thu, 08 May 2014 15:41:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

instead of :

```
if (map.Find(k) >= 0)
```

I like to have a function that returns a bool depending on whether it find the key or not:

```
if(map.HasKey(k))
```

or

```
if(map.ContainsKey(k))
```

---

Subject: Re: [FEATURE REQUEST] bool AMap::HasKey(K key) ;  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 16 May 2014 07:04:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, I understand that comparing for  $\geq 0$  might be confusing at first, but, generally:

- complexity of HasKey is the same as Find
- Find provides more information
- there are many places with similar situation, e.g. String::Find
- less methods in interface are usually better

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: [FEATURE REQUEST] bool AMap::HasKey(K key) ;  
Posted by [omari](#) on Fri, 16 May 2014 09:04:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you Mirek,

I do not want a replacement of Find ().

usually, i check if the map contains a key or not, in this situation, the position of the key (if exist) does not interest me, then there is a risk of forgetting of  $\geq 0$  (that happened to me twice)

a function `(bool Haskey (K k))` seems more natural in this situation.

for example

```
bool Haskey (K& k){return ++Find(k);}
```

or

```
bool Haskey (K& k){return Find (k)>= 0;}
```

---