

---

Subject: Any good reason why SSL support is hardcoded to SSLv3 usage?

Posted by [steffen](#) on Fri, 24 Oct 2014 15:57:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I just made little test with HttpRequest and found that I could not connect to any of our secure servers.

SSLv3 was deprecated 15 years ago, and is now proven vulnerable to the poodle attack.

TcpSocket::SSLImp::Start() is hardcoded to use SSLv3:

```
if(!context.Create(socket.mode == CONNECT ? const_cast<SSL_METHOD
*>(SSLv3_client_method())
                : const_cast<SSL_METHOD *>(SSLv3_server_method())) {
    SetSSLSError("Start: SSL context.");
    return false;
}
```

Changing the methods to TLSv1\_2 allowed my little test to connect with our servers:

```
if(!context.Create(socket.mode == CONNECT ? const_cast<SSL_METHOD
*>(TLSv1_2_client_method())
                : const_cast<SSL_METHOD *>(TLSv1_2_server_method())) {
    SetSSLSError("Start: SSL context.");
    return false;
}
```

In my case it works with TLSv1, TLSv1\_1 and TLSv1\_2.

Are there any plans on making the protocol selectable or not?

I can try to make a solution, adding a member variable like "SSL\_METHOD \*sslMethod;" and use it if it is not null otherwise fallback to current code.

There was another report on a similar request earlier this year:

[http:// www.ultimatepp.org/forums/index.php?t=msg&th=8408&go to=42367&#msg\\_42367](http://www.ultimatepp.org/forums/index.php?t=msg&th=8408&go to=42367&#msg_42367)

---

---

Subject: Re: Any good reason why SSL support is hardcoded to SSLv3 usage?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 29 Oct 2014 08:57:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

steffen wrote on Fri, 24 October 2014 17:57Hi,

I just made little test with HttpRequest and found that I could not connect to any of our secure servers.

SSLv3 was deprecated 15 years ago, and is now proven vulnerable to the poodle attack.

TcpSocket::SSLImp::Start() is hardcoded to use SSLv3:

```
if(!context.Create(socket.mode == CONNECT ? const_cast<SSL_METHOD
*>(SSLv3_client_method())
                        : const_cast<SSL_METHOD *>(SSLv3_server_method())) {
    SetSSLError("Start: SSL context.");
    return false;
}
```

Changing the methods to TLSv1\_2 allowed my little test to connect with our servers:

```
if(!context.Create(socket.mode == CONNECT ? const_cast<SSL_METHOD
*>(TLSv1_2_client_method())
                        : const_cast<SSL_METHOD *>(TLSv1_2_server_method())) {
    SetSSLError("Start: SSL context.");
    return false;
}
```

In my case it works with TLSv1, TLSv1\_1 and TLSv1\_2.

Are there any plans on making the protocol selectable or not?

I can try to make a solution, adding a member variable like "SSL\_METHOD \*sslMethod;" and use it if it is not null otherwise fallback to current code.

There was another report on a similar request earlier this year:

[http:// www.ultimatepp.org/forums/index.php?t=msg&th=8408&go to=42367&#msg\\_42367](http://www.ultimatepp.org/forums/index.php?t=msg&th=8408&go to=42367&#msg_42367)

Interestingly, I have hit the same issue too 14 days ago, so we are now using SSLv23\_client\_method/SSLv23\_server\_method, which according to docs should cover TLS methods as well and downgrade if not available.

Mirek

---