

---

Subject: worthy points?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Wed, 07 Dec 2005 20:28:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

and this is a bad thing: in fact i just talked about the most important projects at the moment.

development style/model based on his preferred GUI toolkit.

would add more dependencies on my software.

application with one of the above projects only when they become more supported and popular.

from:

<http://www.lethalman.net/?p=5>

---

---

Subject: Re: worthy points?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 07 Dec 2005 21:31:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unfortunate thing about U++ in this respect is that it aggressively uses C++ features - and many of them are not available in other languages (destructors, operator overloading, strong typing, templates). That makes other language bindings possible, but you would lose much of effectivity that way. It would be similar problem as making language bindings to boost or STL

As for U++ not being used by Linux distro, that would in fact mean creating new desktop environment. While that is certainly interesting project and U++ is likely to shine in such thing (think e.g. about booting your desktop in 3 seconds , it is unlikely to happen at the moment. The right thing now is Chameleon technology, which will make U++ seamlessly visually integrate with both mainstream toolkits (Gnome and Qt).

---

---

Subject: Re: worthy points?

Posted by [fudadmin](#) on Wed, 07 Dec 2005 22:11:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Wed, 07 December 2005 16:31 Unfortunate thing about U++ in this respect is that it aggressively uses C++ features - and many of them are not available in other languages (destructors, operator overloading, strong typing, templates). That makes other language bindings possible, but you would lose much of effectiveness that way. It would be similar problem as making language bindings to boost or STL

I know that but how to lift up it to the heads of others...

Quote:

As for U++ not being used by Linux distro, that would in fact mean creating new desktop environment. While that is certainly interesting project and U++ is likely to shine in such thing (think e.g. about booting your desktop in 3 seconds , it is unlikely to happen at the moment. The right thing now is Chameleon technology, which will make U++ seamlessly visually integrate with both mainstream toolkits (Gnome and Qt).

AFAIK, fox-toolkit has done their desktop ... I haven't tried but knowing it I guess is nearly as fast... Would be good to find out ...

---