

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

~~~~~

```
RegExp re(
"(stuff)\\s+(\\d+)?\\s*(stuffx)?\\s*([a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9_-]*(?:\\.\"|'\\.\"|'[[[:graph:]]*) )*\\s*(\".*?\");"
"| (stuff2)\\s+(\".*?\");"
"| (stuff3)\\s+(\".*?\".*?);"
"| (. *?);"
);
if(re.Match("tid nanu;"))PromptOK("matches");
```

1) [ultimate++-pcre-8.39-properlyWorkingOrMoreSubMatches.7z](#),  
downloaded 315 times

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Before you had to use something like ``` to correctly match start of a string

---

Page 1 of 6 ---- Generated from U++ Forum

Thank you, good work. Merged with trunk. (Hope it is ok...)

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that  
Posted by [luoganda](#) on Sun, 04 Dec 2016 13:45:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes.

Newer version doesn't have problems around matching more than cca 10 captures, even if def max\_pcre\_offsets=30(default), that's because some bugs were fixed - using default value 30 is ok for general usage(cca18stack\_based), and more than that, lib will use malloc(and copy some values there).

So for upp pcre optimal usage:

-config.h   <=remove any max\_pcre\_offsets definitions(using 30 as defPcreDoesIsEnoughForMost,

that is  $(30*2)/3-2=18$ maxStackBasedCaptures

-pcre\_exec.c   <=modify lines near REC\_STACK\_SAVE\_MAX into:

```
#ifdef pcre_max_stack_offsets
```

```
#define REC_STACK_SAVE_MAX pcre_max_stack_offsets
```

```
#else
```

```
#define REC_STACK_SAVE_MAX 30
```

```
#endif
```

-RegExp.h   <=modify lines near

```
#ifdef pcre_max_stack_offsets
```

```
int pos[pcre_max_stack_offsets]; //must be multiple of 3
```

```
#else
```

```
int pos[30]; //original 30(okForMostOfGeneralStuff)=(30*2)/3=max 20-2(forErr)=18  
                    capturedBackRefs stack based, else malloc is used(and copied!)
```

```
#endif
```

Now,if you want to fine tune RegExp stack based usage, define pcre\_max\_stack\_offsets in TheIDE, or command line - multipleOf 3.

This matches in updated pcre version:

RegExp re(

"(00name)|(02name)|(03name)|(04name)|(05name)|(06name)|(07name)|(08name)|(09name)|(10name)|"

"(01name)|(12name)|(13name)|(14name)|(15name)|(16name)|(17name)|(18name)|(19name)|(20name)|"

"(21name)|(22name)|(23name)|(24name)|(25name)|(26name)|(27name)|(28name)|(29name)|(30

name)|"  
"(31name)|(32name)|(33name)|(34name)|(35name)|(36name)|(37name)|(38name)|(39name)|(40  
name)|"  
"(41name)|(42name)|(43name)|(44name)|(45name)|(46name)|(47name)|(48name)|(49name)|(50  
name)|"  
"(51name)|(52name)|(53name)|(54name)|(55name)|(56name)|(57name)|(58name)|(59name)|(60  
name)|"  
"(61name)|(62name)|(63name)|(64name)|(65name)|(66name)|(67name)|(68name)|(69name)|(70  
name)|"  
"(71name)|(72name)|(73name)|(74name)|(75name)|(76name)|(77name)|(78name)|(79name)|(80  
name)|"  
"(81name)|(82name)|(83name)|(84name)|(85name)|(86name)|(87name)|(88name)|(89name)|(90  
name)|"  
"(91name)|(92name)|(93name)|(94name)|(95name)|(96name)|(97name)|(98name)|(99name)|(10  
0name)" //100

"(100name)|(102name)|(103name)|(104name)|(105name)|(106name)|(107name)|(108name)|(109  
name)|(110name)|"  
"(101name)|(112name)|(113name)|(114name)|(115name)|(116name)|(117name)|(118name)|(119  
name)|(120name)|"  
"(121name)|(122name)|(123name)|(124name)|(125name)|(126name)|(127name)|(128name)|(129  
name)|(130name)|"  
"(131name)|(132name)|(133name)|(134name)|(135name)|(136name)|(137name)|(138name)|(139  
name)|(140name)|"  
"(141name)|(142name)|(143name)|(144name)|(145name)|(146name)|(147name)|(148name)|(149  
name)|(150name)|"  
"(151name)|(152name)|(153name)|(154name)|(155name)|(156name)|(157name)|(158name)|(159  
name)|(160name)|"  
"(161name)|(162name)|(163name)|(164name)|(165name)|(166name)|(167name)|(168name)|(169  
name)|(170name)|"  
"(171name)|(172name)|(173name)|(174name)|(175name)|(176name)|(177name)|(178name)|(179  
name)|(180name)|"  
"(181name)|(182name)|(183name)|(184name)|(185name)|(186name)|(187name)|(188name)|(189  
name)|(190name)|"  
"(191name)|(192name)|(193name)|(194name)|(195name)|(196name)|(197name)|(198name)|(199  
name)|(200name)" //200

"(200name)|(202name)|(203name)|(204name)|(205name)|(206name)|(207name)|(208name)|(209  
name)|(210name)|"  
"(201name)|(212name)|(213name)|(214name)|(215name)|(216name)|(217name)|(218name)|(219  
name)|(220name)|"  
"(221name)|(222name)|(223name)|(224name)|(225name)|(226name)|(227name)|(228name)|(229  
name)|(230name)|"  
"(231name)|(232name)|(233name)|(234name)|(235name)|(236name)|(237name)|(238name)|(239  
name)|(240name)|"  
"(241name)|(242name)|(243name)|(244name)|(245name)|(246name)|(247name)|(248name)|(249  
name)|(250name)|"  
"(251name)|(252name)|(253name)|(254name)|(255name)|(256name)|(257name)|(258name)|(259

```
name)|(260name)|"
"(261name)|(262name)|(263name)|(264name)|(265name)|(266name)|(267name)|(268name)|(269
name)|(270name)|"
"(271name)|(272name)|(273name)|(274name)|(275name)|(276name)|(277name)|(278name)|(279
name)|(280name)|"
"(281name)|(282name)|(283name)|(284name)|(285name)|(286name)|(287name)|(288name)|(289
name)|(290name)|"
"(291name)|(292name)|(293name)|(294name)|(295name)|(296name)|(297name)|(298name)|(299
name)|(300name)" //300
);
if(re.Match("300name"))PromptOK("Matches");
```

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that  
Posted by [luoganda](#) on Sun, 25 Dec 2016 17:02:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Although previous post describes optimal solution,  
note that 'pcre\_max\_stack\_offsets'(ifUsed) must be defined in two places to work,  
it won't work if you just define it in pcre package.

Default 30 value still doesn't work correctly,  
setting this to 33 does - i am not sure why, maybe it has something to do with two 1st values used  
in lib.

So updated optimal solution for now is:  
-setting default pos[33] in RegExp.h and REC\_STACK\_SAVE\_MAX=33  
-allow user to modify this with pcre\_max\_stack\_offsets: should be >=33 and mutiple of 3

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 28 Dec 2016 16:05:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luoganda wrote on Sun, 25 December 2016 18:02Although previous post describes optimal  
solution,  
note that 'pcre\_max\_stack\_offsets'(ifUsed) must be defined in two places to work,  
it won't work if you just define it in pcre package.

Default 30 value still doesn't work correctly,  
setting this to 33 does - i am not sure why, maybe it has something to do with two 1st values used  
in lib.

So updated optimal solution for now is:  
-setting default pos[33] in RegExp.h and REC\_STACK\_SAVE\_MAX=33  
-allow user to modify this with pcre\_max\_stack\_offsets: should be >=33 and mutiple of 3

Uhm, anything that I should apply to plugin/pcre?

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that  
Posted by [luoganda](#) on Fri, 06 Jan 2017 21:25:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Maybe only what has been proposed so far.

Setting stack values to 120(as had been proposed in 1st few msgs) in RegExp.h and for REC\_STACK\_SAVE\_MAX works ok, but it's a little bit too much for generic usage. Default value for this is 30 - but it doesn't work properly.

So, using 33 for this seems ok - but it's more or less in 'experimental' stage, so 2things:  
-maybe more tests with 33 value  
-maybe find a way to specify/declare 'pcre\_max\_stack\_offsets' only once - so it can be tweaked

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that: unneeded creation of lib  
Posted by [luoganda](#) on Thu, 27 Apr 2017 09:32:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

when pcre package is used with non gcc compilers,  
library is unnecessarily produced - it's not needed for upp:)

pcre lib internally defines PCRE\_STATIC for gcc(which in upp prevents lib creation),  
but for upp it can be defined for all compilers.  
So, adding new compiler option to pcre pack with -DPCRE\_STATIC  
wont create unnecessary lib/exp/work(including msvc).

For pcre 'stack\_based' case; for many tests it seems to work ok with ... pos[33] - in RegExp.h,  
stuff in lib/config.h can be removed, REC\_STACK\_SAVE\_MAX(in pcre\_exec.c) can be set to 33

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that: patch for 9251(cblInter),11040  
Posted by [luoganda](#) on Thu, 04 May 2017 07:42:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Pcre 9251 is in next/prev post.

Pcre patch for 11030(andSomePreviousVers) and up - Event interface,  
read note in zip for more...

nonbloated, working version,  
update: rewrite plugin/pcre dir with this one, note can be found in 9251 next/prev post

---

### File Attachments

1) [pcre-patch-11040.7z](#), downloaded 343 times

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that: patch for 9251(cbInter),11040

Posted by [luoganda](#) on Thu, 04 May 2017 07:47:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Pcre 11040(andSomePrevVers) is in prev/next post.

Pcre patch for 9251 - Callback interface,

full version,

update: delete contents of plugin/pcre and copy this one to it

read note in zip for more...

---

### File Attachments

1) [pcre-patch-9251-withCbInterface-full.7z](#), downloaded 359 times

---

---

Subject: Re: RegExp this'n that

Posted by [luoganda](#) on Sun, 15 Jul 2018 21:09:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This does not match, but it's taken directly from pcre 8.xx manual.

It matches correctly on many pcreCompatibleOnlinePages, eg this one regexpr, if testing - don't forget to check pcre there in right-upper corner and to use single '\' if copying down pattern.

Also, subfunc of Match func in this case produces an error(pcre\_exec returns -5 which is PCRE\_ERROR\_UNKNOWN\_OPCODE), but it's not caught by upp, that is - error func doesn't know about it, a silent error.

This should match a balanced '(...abc(...)abc...)' pattern.

```
String s="(abc)";  
RegExp re("\\([^(\\)]++|(?R))*\\");  
if(re.Match(s))PromptOK("\\1Matches");  
if(re.IsError())PromptOK(String("\\1RegExpErr: ")<<re.GetError());
```

Anyone has some idea why this is so?

---